

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/687,157	SIE ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Phillip H. Nguyen	2191	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Phillip H. Nguyen. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Willian Daley (52,471). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 January 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *examiner's amendment.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

*all independent claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Aristides et al. and Kenner et al.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the telephone interview with the undersigned attorney, examiner has indicated that the independent claims were in allowable condition but needed to incorporate subject matters of claims 2 and 4 or 15 and 17. The proposed examiner's amendment to the independent claims was necessitated to further clarify the claimed invention. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given by a William Daley on 1/4/2010.